



Transportation Committee

MEETING NOTICE

REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

JUNE 17, 2025, AT 3:00 PM

VIRTUAL MEETING OPTION AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC

Microsoft Teams meeting

Microsoft Teams [Need help?](#)

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 265 121 953 547

Passcode: 8eJ3TW9j

To be held at Public Works, Main Conference Room, 3505 N Dries Ln, Peoria, IL,
61604

ATTENDANCE

ANNOUNCEMENTS, ETC.

MINUTES

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MAY 20, 2025 MINUTES

AGENDA ITEMS

- ITEM NO. 1** Subcommittee Report Frequency
- ITEM NO. 2** Attendance Discussion (Attachment)
- ITEM NO. 3** Complete Streets Goals Brainstorm

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS

CITIZENS' OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

Anyone wishing to make a public comment can do so by sending those comments to Public Works, by **12:00 p.m.** on Friday, June 13, 2025. Public comments can be sent to the public works department, in writing, at publicworks@peoriagov.org or via fax at 309-494-8855. The email or fax should be labeled "public comment for Friday, June 13, 2025 Transportation Committee Meeting," and we ask that you include your name and address.

Citizens wishing to address an item not on the agenda should contact a commission member prior to the meeting. All other public input will be heard under public comment near the end of the committee meeting.

NEXT MEETING

Tuesday, July 15, 2025

ADJOURNMENT

CITIZENS WISHING TO ADDRESS AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA SHOULD CONTACT A COMMISSION MEMBER PRIOR TO THE MEETING. ALL OTHER PUBLIC INPUT WILL BE HEARD UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT NEAR THE END OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

NOTE: THE ORDER IN WHICH AGENDA ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED MAY BE MOVED FORWARD OR DELAYED BY AT LEAST 2/3 VOTE OF THE COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT.



DRAFT

Minutes for Transportation Commission May 20, 2025 Meeting

ATTENDANCE

MEMBERS PRESENT: Colin Coad, Nada Naffakh, Michael Breitbach, Montrell Wright, Patrick McNamara, Brandon Lott, – 6

MEMBERS ABSET: George Ghareeb, Imadeldin Mohamed, Jeremy Coers, Bernie Goitein – 4

OTHERS PRESENT: Andrea Klopfenstein, Paola Mendez, KJ Mathews, Erica Luckey, – 4

ANNOUNCEMENTS, ETC.

None.

MINUTES

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

April 15, 2025 MINUTES

Motion to approve by Lott, seconded by Breitbach. Approved by unanimous vote

AGENDA ITEMS

ITEM NO. 1 Complete Streets Research Assignments

Coad said there is a Complete Streets Policy in the Ordinances, and they reference performance measures. The intent of this Commission to assign members to research other communities with Complete Streets Advancement and reconvene and evaluate those measures. McNamara also mentioned the benchmarking of other communities and the metrics we have associated with the goals and should continue to use. Naffakh noted that when this was initially brought up, Complete Streets Metrics could be applied to give a better picture of if the city is implementing Complete Streets as much as possible.

McNamara references the Complete Streets Ordinances on the screen from 2016-2022. The last report that was submitted to council in September 2023 as well as the data on the next page, 9. He explains that the bottom line shows what goals have been met. If we want to change metrics, that is an ordinance change, and the Commission will take those on. Or are the goals associated with those particular metrics the right goals in terms of the magnitude. There is also background data in the attachment that explains the 10-year goal and break it down to an annual goal. He goes on to say that we are 9 years into the 10-year timeframe, it is an appropriate time to look at the goals.

DRAFT

Naffakh gave an example when this discussion last came up. The direct mileage of new sidewalks or bike lanes does not give a good picture on if we are implementing sidewalk and bike lanes as we are able. Maybe it should be a metric relative to the projects that were programmed for that year that had the possibility to have new sidewalks or bike lanes. A drainage project, new bike lanes are not a metric to be measured by. The past conversation regarding the numbers needing a baseline to be compared to. She proposes the assignment is that everyone look at it on their own, compile the ideas, and make an optimal plan for the next Commission meeting. She noted that the Commission is not asking the city to collect information that is difficult to collect, just to collect as they go.

Breitbach agrees that the metrics are good, he wants to make sure the goals make sense, logically. Lott noted that this report is tracking on seeing how Complete Streets is doing, it is a 10-year goal, if we are under a year, hopefully we are over the next year. Ultimately maintaining reasonable goals. McNamara asked what resources would make the most sense. Coad said this could be used by the Transportation Commission to monitor an idea, redefining the idea, and point the conversation to revise the document to align with the City Projects. Naffakh bought up projects that the council forego Complete Street Elements on Glen, which was supposed to be part of the Regional Bike Corridor. She disagrees with having a hard number as the goal, with applying Complete Streets whenever possible within the project. Breitbach noted that everyone's goal is to have Complete Streets, but there is not enough money to do that. Before every project starts, how are we going to implement pets, bikes, cares, etc. the documents of that process. McNamara said it would be appropriate for the Commission to review the Complete Streets Manual since it does cover some of the processes already.

Klopfenstein said the Engineers are working on a bike master plan which an update to the existing plan which identifies where bike should be prioritized. If we add bike on a residential cul-de-sac but adding it on a corridor identified as the master plan would have more weight. Maybe look at it in the context that we are making progress on the identified plan vs randomly everywhere. The Staff does it everywhere we can, because the only way to build bites by bites and hope they eventually connect at some point. The purpose of the ADA Self-Evaluation Plan is to look at sidewalk and pedestrian needs and prioritization. The residents who have handicap and access that need to go from point A to point B, those would be the highest. There is priority order to build sidewalk, a dead-end cul-de-sac would not be the best use of funding, but it would add sidewalks. Maybe in the context of makes the most sense from a community perspective, knowing the funds are so limited.

Lott asked if there is a certain amount of performance measures for roadways. Klopfenstein said that it is reported to the finance department the list of assets that are improved. If X amount of roadways were done there is a value to that, but that is the extent of it. There is not a specific target.

Naffakh said the Commission should do calculations to make the data more relevant. Lott said that the Commission could submit a narrative for the annual report to Council. Klopfenstein said with the ADA Self-Evaluation Plan, all the sidewalk data will be collected.

McNamara summarizes what the rest of the flow charts are that were in the packet. Since there is an ordinance now, that define some things we would like the City to be doing to support in terms of Transportation.

Coad said before assigning the chart to a sub-committee, the group would have to agree on the definition of Complete Streets. Naffakh tells the group how she would break down the chart. Breitbach suggest looking at 2 or 3 different projects, come back in a month or two and decide how it would be evaluated. Then in a year, give that feedback to Council. Coad suggests the group check in at the next meeting.

ITEM NO. 2 Complete Streets Research Assignments

Naffakh gives a summary of the Sub-Committee Scopes to the newest Commission Member, Wright.

Coad gives a description of the sub-committee: Planning and Future Projects Monitoring. He references the list in the packet and notes that this sub-committee will operate as a large resource to point other commission with what they are working on. Lott asked if this is a two-way street with what the Tri-County and City are looking at or is it for informational purposes.

Coad adds that his plan going forward is to go through each of the documents listed and create a matrix with information such as when it came out, how long it has been out for, etc. He would then update that report and the Commission. It was discussed how often the Sub-Committee's would report and where it would go on the agenda.

McNamara gives a summarization on the Design and Documentation Sub-Committee Scope. In the Complete Streets Manual, there are references pages for internal to external and when the City re-did the website, all the links did not work. He has been working with McMillion on putting those on the website where they make sense.

Naffakh tells the group the scope of the Sub-Committee, Public Involvement and Education. She continues to say this committee came about to start interfacing with policy makers more directly and create that educational trail that gets presented to them. There have been several times in the Commission wants to provide and received input from the public. Lott said the Open House would be an opportunity to remind the public that the Transportation Commission has a monthly meeting where you can voice concerns regarding Transportation. Klopfenstein suggested to identify opportunities to engage.

Coad asks all the Sub-Committees to look at their next steps and when they would periodically report back.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None.

NEW BUSINESS

Naffakh asked to count RSVPs as attendance. It should be necessary due to meetings getting cancelled because the Commission does not have a quorum.

CITIZENS' OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

None.

NEXT MEETING

June 17, 2025

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further discussion, Committee Member Breitbach moved to adjourn the meeting. Committee Member Naffakh seconded. Meeting adjourned.

TRANSPORTATION COMMISSON															
Name	Colin Coad	Nada Naffakh	Michael Breitbach	Brent Baker	Jeremy Coers	George Ghareeb	Bernie Goitein	Imad Mohamed	Brandon Iott	Patrick McNamara	Montrell Wright	NOTES			
Aug 2024 Present	Cancelled										Not part of Commission Yet	CANX D2 No quorum; Colin, Michael, Jeremy, Pat, and Nada RSVP'd Yes. Bernie said to postpone meeting. No other responses.			
Aug 2024 Absent															
Sept 2024 Present	X	X	X		X		X	Not part of Commission yet	X	X					
Sept 2024 Absent				X		X	X								
Oct 2024 Present	Cancelled											CANX D2 limited amount of agenda items			
Oct 2024 Absent															
Nov 2024 Present	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X					
Nov 2024 Absent				X		X									
Dec 2024 Present	Cancelled											CANX D2 Holidays			
Dec 2024 Absent															
Jan 2025 Present	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
Jan 2025 Absent			X	X		X	X								
Feb 2025 Present	Cancelled											CANX D2 No quorum; Colin, Nada, Jeremy, Pat, Brandon - Yes. Mike, Bernie, Imad, Brent - No. No response from George.			
Feb 2025 Absent															
Mar 2025 Present	X	X		X	X		X	X	X						
Mar 2025 Absent			X	X	X	X		X		X		No quorum; unofficial meeting			
Apr 2025 Present	X		X		X		X	X	X	X		Pat, Jeremy, Colin, Michael, Brandon, Imad - Yes. No other responses.			
Apr 2025 Absent		X		X		X									
May 2025 Present	X	X	X	No longer part of Commission			X	X	X	X		X	Nada, Michael, Pat, Montrell, Colin, Brandon - Yes. Jeremy - No. No other responses.		
May 2025 Absent					X	X	X	X							
Jun 2025 Present				No longer part of Commission											
Jun 2025 Absent															
Jul 2025 Present				No longer part of Commission											
Jul 2025 Absent															
Percentage of meetings attended	100%	83%	66%	0%	75%	66%	50%	60%	100%	100%					